New Frontier

MARCH 1983
12p

VOICE OF BRITISH NATIONALISM

The lads the Government is seeking to betray. British troops hoist the Union Jack in the Falklands after their great victory.

FLASHBACK TO JUNE 1982
What we said

New Frontier
JUNE 1982
12p
VOICE OF BRITISH NATIONALISM

AFTER VICTORY

GOVERNMENT INTENDS FALKLANDS GIVE-AWAY

IT'S A SELL OUT

We reproduce above the front-page headline in NEW FRONTIER in June 1982. The war in the Falklands was just over and the Government was already entering into negotiations over the future of the Islands which made it clear that British servicemen had fought and died for nothing and that a Government sell-out of the Falklands was planned for the future.

At the time many people disbelieved us and said that we were being unduly alarmist. We replied that before long evidence would come to light that would prove us right. This evidence has now appeared in the form of a report in the CHRISTIAN SCIENCE MONITOR which confirms Government intentions as we diagnosed them last June.

We were right...

THATCHER PLANS TO DITCH FALKLANDS

IN JUNE LAST YEAR *New Frontier* featured as its front-page story a prediction that the Thatcher Government, despite all its drum-banging and self-congratulation over the Falklands victory and despite the fact that it had just sacrificed 256 British servicemen's lives in the achievement of that victory, was in fact planning a sell-out of the Falklands.

Confirmation of this planned give-away was recently supplied in a report in *The Christian Science Monitor* of the 24th January. The Government's aim, according to the report, "will be to internationalise the territory."

The report went on to say that in the opinion of Government officials in Whitehall it was much too early to make these plans public. Mrs. Thatcher had announced that the Government's policy was 'Fortress Falklands', i.e. the maintenance of a powerful defence force on the Islands and a hard-line stance against any revival of the claims upon them by Argentina.

But, said the report, Mrs. Thatcher was not at all happy about this situation, nor were members of her Government. Secretly they did not like the prospect of the big expense involved in defending the Islands and were seeking a long-term solution which would spare them this burden. It was clear from the report that the solution the Government had in mind was a give-away of the Falklands.

POLITICAL REASON

The reason why the Government felt that open discussion of the matter should not take place immediately was purely political. Said the report: "At Westminster the Prime Minister would come under attack from her own back benches if she proposed negotiations too soon. With a general election probable this year the Prime Minister would weaken her position politically if she suddenly appeared ready to yield."

So there we have it! First the Government, by the most unbelievable bungling, leaves the Falklands undefended and invites an Argentine invasion. Then, quickly seeing the political capital to be made out of a strong military initiative to retake the Islands (which would divert public attention from failure on the home front), Thatcher and Co. sacrifice the lives of 256 of our finest young men in getting the Islands back. The action to retake the Falklands was, from the military point of view, a superbly conducted operation but it reflected no credit whatever on the politicians who got Britain into this difficult situation in the first place.

But all the time that the Government was sacrificing these young lives in order to raise its own political stock at home it was planning to render that sacrifice completely pointless by giving away the territories for which our servicemen had fought – but not of course until after the next election; the full political capital to be derived from the victory must first be exploited, then afterwards the programme for sell-out would begin in earnest. In the meantime, tough talk for domestic consumption while the blueprint for betrayal lay locked up in Westminster!

According to *The Christian Science Monitor,* one of the things that the Thatcher Government is waiting for in order to make the sell-out more 'acceptable' in Britain is the transformation from military to civilian government in Argentina.

IRRELEVANT

Just why it should be more 'acceptable' in those circumstances is not explained. A sell-out is a sell-out, whatever the policial complexion of the other parties involved. The politics of Argentina should be completely irrelevant to the issue.

So also should the question of precisely which body exercises sovereignty over the Falklands in the event of a British give-away. Obviously, the Government, in its deceit, thinks that the transfer of sovereignty to an international organisation, presumably sponsored by the United Nations, will be a more palatable pill for the British people to swallow than a transfer direct to Argentina. This seems to lose sight of the reality of the Falklands War – that it was fought, not to keep the Islands from Argentina, but to keep them British, as is desired by the majority of Islanders. A transfer from British sovereignty to any other sovereignty is a sell-out, irrespective of what other sovereignty that is.

Our final comment on this news is that it reveals a sordid and disgusting act of betrayal – albeit one only too familiar in modern Britain. It is a repeat of an old story. The Thatcher Government strikes patriotic postures before the electorate, just to get votes. But behind the scenes it plans to do exactly the opposite of what it is appearing to do out in the open. It is a saga of lies, deceit and the needless sacrifice of British lives. One day those responsible will pay for their actions – about that let there be no doubt!

Architects of sell-out: l. to r.: Thatcher, Carrington & Pym

New Frontier

No. 26 MARCH 1983

Editor: Ronald Rickcord
Contributing Editor: Charles Parker

EXONERATING THE GUILTY

THE NEWS that Israel's former Defence Minister, the bloodthirsty General Ariel Sharon, is to be allowed to remain in the Cabinet, despite the severe criticism of him contained in the Kahan Report on the Beirut massacres, comes as no surprise for two reasons: firstly, because Sharon's own boss, the diabolical Menachem Begin, is himself a notorious and sadistic terrorist who is hardly in a position to sack a fellow gangster; and secondly, because Sharon is the ailing Begin's most likely successor.

Unlike Britain's Franks Report on the Argentine invasion of the Falklands, which was concocted specifically for home consumption, the Kahan Report is aimed at the world at large. And though it does criticise Begin, Sharon and their henchmen for allowing the so-called "Christian Militia" to run amok in the Palestinian refugee camps, and for not acting quickly enough to stop the bloodbath once they knew it had begun, the Report cunningly shifts the blame for the massacres away from the Israelis and onto the "Lebanese" Christian Militia.

But this sleight of hand in no way exonerates the Israelis because the Christian Militia is actually nothing more than a gang of cut-throats paid by the Israelis to do their dirty work for them. Even the name "Christian Militia" was thought up in Israel by Zionists who want to hoodwink the world into believing that the outfit is involved in a war between Christians and Moslems.

A journalist who recently visited the Christian Militia, Jim Taylor of the *American Sunbeam*, has written an article stating that "the only thing Christian about this group is that they kill Christians, Moslems, and anyone else that Israel orders them to go against, including innocent children at play." He adds: "I wouldn't dare to turn my back on any member of this band of hired killers." During his visit, Mr. Taylor discovered that many members of the Militia are non-Lebanese mercenaries. Of 41 American mercenaries he met, 37 were American Jews.

So it becomes clear that the Kahan Inquiry was a whitewash operation the main aim of which was to divert attention away from the Israeli perpetrators of the massacres and onto their free-booting mercenaries. It is also clear that the Self-Chosen People, having usurped what was Palestine, have now embarked on a policy of territorial expansion which involves carnage and genocide. It is high time that we British showed our abhorrence for what is happening in the Lebanon by severing all connections with Israel. It is the least we can do.

OPERATION WHITEWASH

New Frontier examines the Franks Report on the Falklands



The Union Jack flies again on South Georgia. Why did the Government respond so feebly when the Argentines first landed?

THE EUPHORIA with which the Tories received the Franks Report, coupled with Michael Foot's low-key attack on the Government during the subsequent Commons debate, gives some support to the view that the Report is an elaborate Establishment cover-up, designed not only to vindicate Mrs. Thatcher and, in so far as it was possible, to exonerate her sopping wet Cabinet colleagues, but also to let the Wilson, Heath and Callaghan Administrations off the hook.

Mrs. Thatcher obviously took great care in selecting the members of the Franks Committee; but since in addition to Lord Franks it consisted of four ex-Cabinet Ministers and a former Civil Servant who had served in the Cabinet Office, all of whom had held influential Establishment posts during the years that led up to Argentina's invasion of the Falklands, it is hardly surprising that a great many people regard the Report as a whitewashing operation.

But much as the politicians of all parties would like their ineptitude to be quickly forgotten, we can never forget that it was their dereliction of duty which resulted in the deaths of more than 250 British servicemen. The simple fact is that the Franks Report glossed over all the important questions, assuming that they asked the questions at all.

LORD FRANKS True establishment

QUESTIONS UNANSWERED

There are too many questions that require an answer for us to be able to discuss them in a short article such as this, but we have selected the following questions to which we believe the British public have a right to know the answers.

(1) The Report states that "from 1966 all British Governments were prepared to negotiate about sovereignty over the Islands." This willingness to discuss the question of sovereignty with the Argentines was a major factor in allowing them to imagine that they had some claim to the Islands. But the important question is this: Who gave any British Government the right to negotiate on matters concerning the sovereignty of Britons living in British territory?

(2) Why did the Labour Government in 1976 make no response to Argentina's illegal landing of military personnel on Southern Thule in the Sandwich Islands? And why did the Tories do nothing to eject the intruders when they came to power in 1979?

(3) Why did the present Government make such a feeble response when Argentine "scrap-metal merchants" landed and raised the Argentine flag on South Georgia on 19 March 1982?

(4) Why was the runway at Stanley Airport not extended so that it could be used for long-range transport aircraft as proposed in Lord Shackleton's Report of 1976?

(5) Why was the Government so anxious to deprive many Falklanders of undoubted British descent of their British nationality? Wasn't this attitude on its own sufficient to convince the Argentine Junta that the British Government wasn't serious about retaining the Islands? (In this context it is perhaps worth pointing out that while having no conscience about depriving Falklanders of their British nationality, the Government and the other parties have no qualms about conferring that same nationality on hordes of coloured aliens who have no connection with this country.)

(6) Why did the Cabinet fail to discuss the Falklands on any occasion during the 15 months preceding the invasion? Did they regard the Islanders as being of too little importance to warrant time being spent discussing the Islands' future?

(7) Why, in view of John Nott's proven ineptitude as Defence Secretary and his insistence that *HMS Endurance* be withdrawn from the South Atlantic (which fact alone must have given General Galtieri and his henchmen great psychological comfort), did Mrs. Thatcher refuse to accept his resignation? And why did his crass incompetence merit a knighthood?

(8) Why was it necessary for the then Foreign Secretary, Lord Carrington, to visit Israel at a time when the Argentine invasion force was known to be heading for the Falklands?

(9) Why, after the abortive "Condor Group" invasion of the Falklands by Argentine adventurers in 1964 and the illegal occupation of Southern Thule in 1976, did the Government continue to permit British arms to be sold to Argentina as well as providing training facilities for Argentine military personnel in the United Kingdom?

MORE THAN MEETS THE EYE

Until such time as these and similar questions are satisfactorily answered, patriotic Britons will suspect that there is a lot more behind the Falklands invasion than meets the eye, and the Franks Report will be regarded with the contempt that it deserves. As with the Suez debacle, British politicians have again shown their remarkable aptitude for obscuring their treasonable activities and incompetence with a welter of written and spoken verbiage.

Once again those who were responsible for our national humiliation and the tragic loss of British lives have survived scot-free, and have even benefited from their treachery. We Nationalists have nothing but contempt for those politicians and others who sell their country short and whose sole concern is the furtherance of their own careers and their tarnished political parties. We hope that the day is not too distant when these people will be called to account.

Britain's moment of humiliation. The Argentine flag is hoisted over Port Stanley.

WOOING THE BLACK VOTE

Unending immigration
Is causing consternation
To public men of note
Who soon will want your vote.

So Whitelaw says "Hey Presto! –
Let's ditch the Manifesto!
Just let a few more in
And we'll be bound to win!"

Bermondsey: the 'Q' Factor

Everyone predicted a big drop in the Labour vote in the Bermondsey by-election, but until the last minute it was still expected that Labour would hold the seat with a considerably reduced majority. At the General Election in May 1979 the safeness of Bermondsey as a Labour stronghold was shown by the huge majority enjoyed by the then Labour candidate, Bob Mellish, who polled 19,338 votes to the 7,582 won by the Tories and the 2,072 achieved by the Liberals.

In the by-election, as it turned out, not only was the Labour candidate Peter Tatchell defeated, he was annihilated, obtaining himself barely over a quarter of the vote and losing to the Liberal, Simon Hughes, by a majority of nearly 10,000.

What caused this Labour catastrophe? Was it Tatchell's left-wing extremism? Only partly. Labour have fielded other far leftists as candidates before and never lost votes to the extent they did in Bermondsey. The real factor that sunk Tatchell was the publicisation of his record as a champion of the homosexual cause and the clear personal implications that that had in the mind of the voters. The British electorate, particularly the working-class section of it, does not like Queers or Queerness. All parties should take note!

NEWS ROUND-UP

THE OFFICE of Population, Censuses and Surveys has reported that 153,000 legal immigrants entered Britain during 1981.

★★★★

SPEAKING on TV, a Brixton vicar, the Rev. Michael Armitage, told viewers that if rioters again run wild in his parish he would "probably be cheering them on." Mr. Armitage, who describes himself as a "Christian Socialist", also accused the police of racism.

★★★★

MR. MICHAEL STRAIGHT, the American who exposed Anthony Blunt and other British traitors, has claimed that there are 30 to 40 "moles", some of them Labour MPs, still holding responsible positions in Britain. Meanwhile it has been reported that the security services have re-opened investigations into the Blunt case.

★★★★

A SIX FOOT tall, 14-year-old, black schoolboy from Manchester who sexually assaulted one of his teachers and then stabbed her 19 times has been sentenced to be detained for life. The sentence was welcomed by the National Association of Schoolmasters and Union of Women Teachers.

★★★★

DR. RAM SAXENA has been sentenced to 12 months' imprisonment and his wife, Dr. Usha Saxena, to four months' imprisonment for defrauding the NHS. The two Indian-born doctors made more than £17,000 by submitting false claims.

★★★★

FIFTY British Rail train drivers are to take a cut-price holiday in Russia during November to celebrate the anniversary of the Russian Revolution in 1917.

★★★★

POLITICAL groups, including the Brixton Defence Campaign and the Brixton Black Women's Group, have issued a leaflet entitled *Organise to Resist* which calls on black people to take to the streets and resist the police.

★★★★

DURING a visit to "Zimbabwe" Mr. Timothy Raison, Britain's new Minister for Overseas Development, signed an agreement for Britain to provide the country with £20 million aid. This will be in addition to the £30 million Britain has already promised to provide for the resettlement of "Zimbabwean" peasants.

★★★★

A MAN and a woman who were reciting poems on the stage of Sheffield City Hall during CND's annual conference removed all their clothing and continued with the recitation for eight minutes.

★★★★

MR. ENOCH POWELL has accused the United Nations of provoking the conflict between Argentina and Britain over the Falkland Islands. Mr. Powell says that the UN was responsible for the bloodshed that took place and he asserts that the 1967 General Assembly resolution on the Falklands was an "insultingly provocative action."

MORE RACIAL VIOLENCE

THE NEWS that an "International Commission of Inquiry" has been set up by the "New Cross Massacre Action Committee" to investigate the deaths of 13 young West Indians in a house fire during a "shebeen" at New Cross two years ago simply shows that Blacks are unable to accept the consequences of their own folly.

Everyone, including the police, knows that the fire started inside the house during a quarrel between some of the youngsters. The police have established that the fire couldn't have been caused by a fire bomb being thrown through the window, but still the black community in New Cross persists with accusations that the deaths were caused by a fire bomb thrown by white racists.

This failure by Blacks to face facts has now resulted in a number of violent riots outside Stoke Newington police station, where a demented young Black, Colin Roach, recently shot himself. The rioters claim that Roach was murdered by "racist" police.

It is clearly evident that black agitators and their white backers are now using these and similar incidents to further their own careers and causes; but they fail to realise that the white population in such areas is becoming increasingly impatient with their violent antics. Many Whites in places like Deptford and Stoke Newington are writing letters to *New Frontier* telling us how disturbed they are at having to live with the violent strangers who have come to live in their areas during the past 20 to 30 years. ALL THESE LETTERS CONFIRM THAT RACIAL VIOLENCE IS ON THE INCREASE AND THAT IT IS ONLY A MATTER OF TIME BEFORE THERE WILL BE A MAJOR EXPLOSION.

Blacks refuse to face facts

Stoke Newington police station under siege.

A Decade of disaster

Verdict on the Common Market 10 years after Britain's entry

THE EXPENSIVE Eurojunkets recently attended by British pro-Marketeers to celebrate the tenth anniversary of our membership of the EEC couldn't have come at a more inopportune moment. Whilst the frolics were underway at our expense, British fishermen were about to learn that the fishing dispute with Denmark had ended in a sell-out which Fisheries Minister Peter Walker euphemistically called "a very fine package"; steelworkers were learning that even more of them faced redundancy following the EEC Commission's *diktat* calling for the closure of more plants by the British Steel Corporation; butter was again being cheaply sold to Warsaw Pact countries; British farmers were demanding that thousands of tons of surplus wheat and barley should be used for feeding their livestock instead of being sold to Russia at knockdown prices; and the European Parliament had again blocked the £480 million rebate owed to Britain.

IMPOVERISHED

No longer can pro-Marketeers claim that they only require time to prove how beneficial to us all membership of the EEC will be. After ten years in the Market none of their predictions has come true. With the exception of the highly-paid executives of multinational companies, MEPs and Eurocrats, the EEC has impoverished us all. It has all but destroyed our national independence; it has attracted many of our industries to mainland Europe; it has helped to create massive unemployment; and it has contributed enormously to the proliferation of bureaucracy and red tape. In short, the last ten years have been a disastrous decade for the people of Britain.

LET'S GET OUT

Let us all fervently hope that before the next ten years have passed, Britain will have extricated herself from the EEC's harmful shackles, and that the years that we belonged to it will be but a bad memory. That is an aim for which all genuine patriots should strive.



The steel industry (right) is one of many being destroyed by the EEC.

Euro-Lunacy

A LETTER recently appeared in the correspondence columns of *The Daily Telegraph* from an MEP who attempted to justify the EEC's pettifogging legislation on such matters as the control of lawn mower noise. The MEP, one Richard Cottrell, proudly claimed that he is trying "to persuade Brussels to harmonise the luminosity of traffic lights." Is Mr. Cottrell serious, or did his letter due to some mishap find its way onto the Editor's desk instead of Peter Simple's? We can only guess; but if highly-paid MEPs have nothing better to do than to discuss and write letters about such absurdities as those with which Mr. Cottrell evidently concerns himself, is it any wonder that the EEC is regarded with such contempt by the majority of the British people?

Seeing the light on race?

FOR YEARS governments have been telling us that Coloured immigrants are British – just the same as us – and that we must 'integrate' with them.

Now a government-sponsored body is saying exactly the opposite. The British Agencies for Adoption and Fostering has said that Black children in Britain requiring adoption should be adopted by Black families rather than White ones, as Blacks who had been adopted by White families were not developing "a sense of their own race." These children, the BAAF said, must grow up with a feeling of their Black identity. They must, said Director Tony Hall, "be proud of their race and not deny their racial identity as if they were ashamed of it."

It looks as if some people among officialdom are at last waking up to the reality of racial differences – but one thing must be said: if it is a good thing for Blacks to be proud of their identity, why should not Whites be as well?

YOUR LETTERS

Sir,–If Klaus Barbie, the so-called "Butcher of Lyons" is to be tried for crimes against humanity, shouldn't Menachem Begin, the death merchant of Deir Yassin, be similarly tried?

J. RAWLINGS,
Coventry.

Sir,–Although the Nigerian Government frequently accuses the South Africans of "racism", nothing could have been more blatantly racist than the recent expulsion of two million Ghanaians from Nigeria. Why doesn't the British Government take a leaf out of the Nigerian Government's book and expel from Britain the thousands of Nigerians living here? Of course, I know this is wishful thinking; the British Government is much more likely to invite the two million Ghanaians to come and live here!

A. SPRINGBOK,
London SW1.

Sir,–In 1975 the black population of the USA was 24.4 million – that is seven Blacks per square mile. When the coloured population of England reaches 24.4 million there will be 477 coloureds per square mile because England is very much smaller than the USA. In other words, there will be on average 477 coloured people living in every square mile of Dartmoor, the Fens, the Lake District and the rest of England. Frightening isn't it?

DENNIS RITTER,
London SE22.

Sir,–I read with interest the letter in *New Frontier* about Martin Webster's behaviour on marches. I attended a recent Anti-IRA march in Leeds organised by the Webster Front. It was a cold day and some friends and I arrived in the park from where the march was to set off. I was carrying a Union Jack flag and we formed up into threes. I was in the front line of the colour party and I was feeling a bit tired; my arms were aching and I wasn't carrying the flag properly, as the march had not set off. Webster came up to me and started shouting, telling me to stand up straight as he was "in charge here and it wasn't a Girl Guide's parade." When I protested at his rudeness, he went into a rage and said that "Ladies aren't allowed in the colour party anyway." Many people protested at this but Webster told me to go to the back of the march. I didn't really know much about Webfoot (as we call him), but now I do; I will never go on an NF march again. The real reason, I believe, that Webster didn't like me was because I was a girl and he likes to be surrounded by young men.

SANDRA BURTON
Leeds

Sir,–As an Australian visiting Britain, I was interested in your comment on the Black cricketer playing for England in Australia. I saw the matches on TV at home and when this Black came on to bowl the crowd sang 'Old man river'. On your TV this player was shown making a spectacular catch against New Zealand, yet when I got Sunday's paper I saw that he did not even play in that match!

J. DUKES
Portsmouth

TALKING POINTS

by FRONTIERSMAN

WHOSE INTEREST?

Defending the loans made by British banks to Argentina, Mrs. Thatcher said in the Commons that the loans were being made available because they were **in the interests of Britain and the Western system!** Further pressed, she said that failure to lend Argentina money would not be in the interests of the financial system of this country or the West.

So it seems that the Junta, which still hasn't renounced the use of force in its bid to conquer the Falklands, will be able to use British capital to purchase arms with which to launch another attack on the Islands sometime in the future. Crazy, isn't it? But what intrigues me is why the Prime Minister should regard the Western financial system so sacrosanct. It is a system that has already done incalculable harm to the people of this country and to millions of people throughout the world. It is largely responsible for the world recession which has resulted in the massive unemployment which bedevils most countries in the West. But what I find most alarming about Mrs. Thatcher's infatuation with the thoroughly discredited Western financial system is that she seems prepared to ensure its preservation even at the expense of British lives. The "Western system" is not worth the life of a single British private or able-bodied seaman.

WHAT A LAUGH!

Were it not for the tragic loss of British lives in the Falklands war, some recent happenings would be regarded as laughable. For instance, the announcement that the "VIPs" attending next month's celebrations commemorating the 150th anniversary of British settlement in the Falklands will be headed by the asinine Timothy Raison is not likely to inspire much fear among the bloodthirsty Dagoes who are still boldly proclaiming that *"Las Malvinas son neustras."* Indeed, a more unlikely choice of personage to raise morale in the Islands could scarcely be imagined. Even more hilarious was the remark made by Lord Carrington during the debate in the Lords on the Franks Report. He pompously said that he resigned as Foreign Secretary because "I think the country is more important than myself." Which country does he mean? Israel? What a pity that the Noble Lord didn't arrive at his belated self-realisation before he sold Rhodesia down the drain!

IS IT ART?

The announcement that the unqualified 35-year-old Mr. Luke Rittner has been appointed to the £27,000 year post of general-secretary of the Arts Council has raised many eyebrows in the Arts Council as well it might. But I believe that the controversy is largely academic since under Mr. Rittner's tutelage the Council will almost certainly continue disbursing vast sums of public money sponsoring avant-garde rubbish that very few regard as art. It all puts me in mind of Rudyard Kipling's celebrated lines:

We know that the tail must wag the dog,
For the horse is drawn by the cart;
But the Devil whoops,
As he whooped of old:
It's clever, but is it Art?

No doubt Mr. Rittner will see to it that the art crackpots will continue to be well supplied with cash – like the negro from Manchester who was recently awarded £5,000 by the Council to enable him to go to Trinidad to learn how to tune steel drums. It is probable that few Nationalists would oppose public money being spent to support the traditional arts of this country, arts which are part of our national heritage; but we do expect such funds to be spent on arts that uplift the soul and stimulate the mind. We do not take kindly to our hard-earned cash being squandered on the incomprehensible and frequently alien improvisations which today masquerade under the name of art.



Mr. Rittner in his office – note the work of 'art' that adorns his bookshelf.

JEW GROUP ABANDONED

It is amusing to learn that a group of American Jews, which was formed to investigate why Jews did so little to save six million of their brethren alleged to have perished in the Nazi "holocaust", has been abandoned amidst an internal outburst of bickering, acrimony and dissension. Although the reason for the break-up of the group is given as the failure of a "holocaust" survivor – yes, another one! – to keep a promise to donate 69,000 dollars to the group, there are reports that the real reason for the group's collapse is that some members felt that it was wrong to make accusations against fellow Jews for failing to give aid and succour to supposed victims, instead of resorting to the more usual policy of castigating Gentiles for what is alleged to have happened. It may also be that some members of the group came to realise the absurdity of blaming one another for an event which exists only in their own fertile imaginations. The late Dr. Goebbels is reported to have once said that if a lie is uttered often enough people will eventually begin to believe it. Perhaps the Jews have uttered the holocaust lie so often during the past 40 years that they are beginning to believe it themselves.

Have you ever heard such tripe?

AN EXHIBITION purporting to show similarities between pre-war anti-Semitism in Germany and racism in Britain today is to open in the crypt of a London church this month. Organised by Bishop Thompson of Stepney, the exhibition is aimed at secondary school children. The Bishop is reported by the *Jewish Chronicle* to have said: "Many young people are being seduced by propaganda which claims that the Holocaust was a myth . . . Just as in Germany before the war, Jews and Blacks are being used as scapegoats."

As there were virtually no Blacks in Germany before the war, the Bishop's remarks do not seem to make much sense; but informed sources have suggested that the exhibition is the forerunner of a new campaign to persuade children, and their more gullible elders, that in addition to the alleged Jewish "Holocaust" in Nazi Germany, there was also a Black Holocaust. It seems that the Jews in Britain are being very successful in persuading the Anglican clergy that they must impress on the faithful that there is no way to heaven for any White who fails to subscribe to the "we are all guilty" syndrome.

The Exhibition will remain open until April, and if sufficient public interest is shown it will also be staged in various provincial centres. Readers wishing to experience for themselves the propaganda techniques employed by the unholy alliance of Jews and the Church of England can obtain further information about the exhibition from Bishop's House, Stepney, Telephone number 01-790 4382.

ELECTION NEWS —LATEST

New recordings now available

BNP Recordings are pleased to announce two new cassettes which will be available from early in the new year. These are:–

RALLY '82

This recording features speeches made at the British National Party rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'.

On side 1 of the cassette are recordings of the speeches by Charles Parker and Ray Hill; on side 2 is the closing speech by John Tyndall.

TYNDALL SPEAKS (II)

This cassette features the 4th and 5th of a series of specially recorded talks by John Tyndall, made in a studio employing Hi-Fi equipment. The talks are:–

Side 1: **The case for nationalism.** In this talk the BNP leader demolishes the most frequently used arguments employed in opposition to the nationalist idea and urges that that idea is now essential to Britain's survival.

Side 2: **Tragedy of the 20th Century.** In this recording Tyndall speaks of World War II and its ruinous consequences for Britain and the West.

Both these talks provide ideal instructional material for educating young people in nationalism.

We also remind everyone of two other recordings still available which have proved very popular. These are:–

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1 of this cassette features recordings of parts of the speeches made at the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Ray Hill, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others.

Side 2 consists of the third of the series of specially recorded talks by John Tyndall entitled **The coming British revolution.** In this talk Tyndall exposes the fraud of the political system masquerading as 'democracy' and outlines vital changes needed to raise the level of British political leadership.

TYNDALL SPEAKS (I)

The first of two recorded talks of a series by the BNP leader. These are:–

Side 1: **Our Anglo-Saxon heritage.** This talk is about the great world-wide heritage of the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples and calls for their unity.

Side 2: **Britain's economic crisis.** Tyndall talks about the breakdown of the British economy over a long period and calls for a new economic policy based on economic nationalism.

Cassettes now cost £3.50 each – plus 16½p for postage. All orders should be sent to: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BNP may be ready to fight 50 seats by June

REPORTS coming in from British National Party branches around Britain indicate that the party could be in a position to fight 50 seats in the next General Election, even in the event of that election being called as soon as June. If this is achieved, the achievement will be truly remarkable in view of the party's short history.

South London Region have announced that in all probability they will be able to contest 8 seats, these being in Lewisham (2), Bromley (3), Greenwich (2) and Bexley (1). North London at the moment reckon on fighting 6 seats, though this number could be increased before the Summer.

In the South Eastern counties the party also plans to fight the Hertford & Stevenage and Thurrock seats and possibly Ipswich, Rochford, Bedford and Buckingham.

In the West Country, the party will be putting up certainly one and possibly two candidates in Plymouth, one candidate in Bristol South and one in either Cheltenham or Gloucester, probably the latter.

Leicester Branch intends to contest all the three Leicester seats plus one other in the county.

The Yorkshire & Humberside Region expects to contest 6 seats. Of these Bradford North and Grimsby have been chosen. The party will also be fighting one Leeds seat and possibly the seats at York, Halifax and Wakefield. It is also possible that a Sheffield seat will be fought.

Glasgow announces that it will be fighting one local seat, thus keeping the party flag flying in Scotland. In North East England it is probable that a Tyneside seat will be fought.

In North West England no choice of seats has yet been made but it is expected that these will be about 5 in number. A similar number of seats is likely to be fought in the West Midlands, one of which will be Worcester.

Kent Region expect to contest two seats, with the most likely of these being in the Canterbury and Ashford areas. In Sussex, Worthing is able to fight a seat but needs a candidate. In the Hants and Dorset Region it is expected that the party will fight the Basingstoke seat and one Bournemouth seat.

BNP vindicated

The result of the by-election in Bermondsey thoroughly vindicated the British National Party policy of not getting involved in elections except where there is a reward to be gained, such as free broadcasting time due to parties which contest 50 or more seats at a General Election.

No less than 5 candidates competed for the Nationalist vote and these won a total of a mere 597 votes, which was barely 2 per-cent of the poll. The largest of these votes was won by the National Front, with 426 votes (1.4 per-cent of the poll). This contrasted with 1,175 votes obtained by the party at the last General Election in a similar sized poll.

The splitting of the vote underlined the absurdity of the present division among Nationalists, and the size of the total Nationalist vote underlined the futility of these repeated by-election extravaganzas, which waste valuable money which could be going to some much better use.

PLEASE NOTE OUR CHANGE OF ADDRESS

Will all readers please note that, as from this issue, *New Frontier* is no longer being published from its Hove address but will be obtainable from the following London address: P.O. Box 446, London SE23 2LS. All orders in future should be sent to this new address but – and this is important – any payments for supplies of issues previous to the present one should be sent to the old Hove address, which is: P.O. Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

The move of the *New Frontier* dispatch and administration to London is part of a new scheme for the decentralisation of the running of the party and the greater distribution of the work-load. Since London is the largest area for sales of the paper, this move is a forward step, as it will greatly reduce the length of the supply line to London active units.

Please all make sure you get this change of address right, as a delay will result in the course of dealing with your orders if they are sent to the old address at Hove.

ELECTION DEPARTMENT SET UP

In preparation for the coming General Election, the British National Party has now set up its own Elections Department. This was formally established at a meeting in London on February 26th, and its function will be to co-ordinate the national campaign build-up to the election, at which the party intends to try to fight 50 seats for the purpose of qualifying for broadcasting time.

Five party officials will be responsible for allotted areas, with which they will be in regular liaison with advice and assistance to branches in election preparations. The areas have been allotted as follows: Northern England & Scotland: Michael Easter; Midlands: Charles Parker; North London & Northern Home Counties: David Bruce; South London & Southern Home Counties: Richard Edmonds; Wales, Western England & South Coast: Terry Fitzgerald.

In certain selected areas seats will be fought by the party's most experienced candidates and with a proper printed election address. In other areas the party's purpose will be merely to make up the number to 50 and these will be fought with a candidate and £150 deposit but with very little supporting campaign.

BNP to start book service

The British National Party will be starting its own book service in about 2 months' time. The service will be known as BNP Book Service, and will operate from a post office box address in London. It will to begin with be conducted on a mail order basis but in time it is intended to open a shop.

This is very much of a forward step for the party and one which supporters have wanted it to take for some time. The problem in the past, however, has been one of lack of personnel to undertake the work. This problem has now been overcome and the party is in the process of setting the service up and purchasing a stock of books.

As soon as the service is functioning and the books are available, we will be featuring lists in *New Frontier*.

Badges

After much waiting, we are now pleased to be able to announce that British National Party badges will be available from about mid-March.

The badges will cost £1.25 (including postage) and may be ordered from: BNP, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

THE GROVEL BRIGADE

This picture shows members of the Conservative Friends of Israel group meeting Prime Minister Menachem Begin during a visit they recently made to Israel. It is amazing that Tory MPs have no qualms about hobnobbing with so loathsome a personage as Begin. Former leader of the terrorist group Irgun Zvai Leumi that was, on his own admission, responsible for the murder and torture of British soldiers and Arab villagers in the 1940s, Begin now presides over a country which exists only by military conquest and subjugation of the Arab population, and which even now is seeking to expand its territory by a policy of brutality and carnage in Lebanon. Those shown with Begin are (left to right): Sir Geoffrey Johnson Smith MP, Michael Fidler MP, Mrs. Sylvia Sheff (the group's project director), George Gardiner MP and Nicholas Fairbairn MP. Five Tory MPs not shown in the picture also attended the meeting.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

53, Greencroft Towers, Felling, Gateshead 10.

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB

Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

.....

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *New Frontier* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.40 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *New Frontier* and send to *New Frontier,* P.O. Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £2.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

Read

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 35p. Annual subscription £6.15. 47p for sample copy to: *Spearhead,* PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY *NEW FRONTIER* IN BULK

New Frontier retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 32p post
25 copies £2.70 + 94p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.57 post
100 copies £8.40 + £1.90 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.10 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.25 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.55 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.80 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *New Frontier,* P.O. Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *New Frontier* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

Printed & published by New Frontier, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB